



IFW

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of :
Joseph W. Coburn, Jr., et al :
Serial No. 10/664,024 : Group Art Unit: 2872
Confirmation No.: 3503 :
Filed: 9/13/03 : Examiner: Chang, Audrey Y.
For: LAMINATED COLOR :
LIGHT FILTER : Attorney Docket No. 15262

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED SEPTEMBER 10, 2004

Claim 7, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, has been canceled.

The rejection of claims 1-2 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sekimura et al is respectfully traversed.

"Anticipation requires the presence in a single prior art reference disclosure of each and every element of the claimed invention, arranged as in the claim" (Lindermann Maschinenfabrik GmbH v. American Hoise & Derrick Co., 730 F.2d 1452, 221 U.S.P.Q. 481, 485 (Fed. Cir. 1984) (citing Connell v. Sears, Roebuck & Co., 722 F.2d 1542, 220 U.S.P.Q. 193 (Fed. Cir. 1983)) (emphasis added)). The Sekimura et al reference fails to disclose each and every element of the